1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
3	AT SEATTLE
4	
5	In re:
6	IAN GREGORY THOW,) No. 05-30432
7	Debtor.)
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF THE DIGITALLY-RECORDED PROCEEDINGS
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHILIP H. BRANDT
11	OCTOBER 29, 2007
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by: Robyn Oleson Fiedler CSR #1931
24	COK #1931
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Debtor:
4	MR. LARRY B. FEINSTEIN Attorney at Law
5	VORTMAN & FEINSTEIN 500 Union Street, Suite 500
6	Seattle, WA 98101
7	For the Canadian Trustee:
8	
9	MR. BRUCE W. LEAVERTON Attorney at Law LANE POWELL
10	1420 Fifth Avenue, #4100 Seattle, WA 98101
11	beaccie, wa joioi
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	DIGITALLY RECORDED IN SEATTLE, WASHINGTON
2	OCTOBER 25, 2007
3	00000
4	
5	MR. LEAVERTON: Bruce Leaverton representing
6	Wolrige Mahon, the trustee in the matter. Mr. Mike
7	Cheevers is the representative of
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. McLEAN: Your Honor, John McLean, I'm
10	Canadian counsel for Mr. Cheevers.
11	MR. FOX: Your Honor, Greg Fox of Lane
12	Powell.
13	MR. FEINSTEIN: Larry Feinstein, attorney for
14	the debtor.
15	MS. RILEY: Jessica Riley, I represent
16	Mr. Thow pertaining to the criminal matters.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I should also
18	mention that Matt Johnson is also present. He is a law
19	student at Seattle University and is working with Judge
20	Overstreet as an extern and has done some research on
21	these issues as well.
22	Are there press representatives present in
23	the courtroom? Could I ask you each to identify why
24	don't you come forward to the podium, because these
25	proceedings are recorded digitally.

1 MS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. I'm Courtney 2 Armstrong, and I'm with CBC News, television news out of Vancouver. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Armstrong. 5 MS. CROSBY: Jennifer Crosby, CHEK TV News 6 from Victoria on Vancouver Island. And Kendall Hansen, 7 my photographer, is here as well. 8 THE COURT: I take it his camera is somewhere 9 else? 10 MS. CROSBY: Yes, it is. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Oh, sir? 11 MR. DUFFY: My name is Andrew Duffy. I'm 12 with the Times Colonist Newspaper in Victoria. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Duffy. 14 15 All right. These are -- well, I take it -- I 16 should also say, when we first received inquiry in 17 chambers on Thursday regarding press attendance at this 18 examination, we did advise counsel for the parties, the 19 interested parties, the parties who were of record in 20 the proceeding on this side of the border, so this was not a complete surprise to them. It might not have 21 22 been anyway. 23 The other thing that I want to put on record is I've just briefly had a conference in chambers with 24 25 the counsel who identified themselves on the record a

few minutes ago, just talking about where we are and 1 2 what would happen next. And I think what happens next is I'd like each of the parties to indicate on the 3 record both the trustee's position and Mr. Thow's 5 position, and then we'll talk about what we do next. MR. LEAVERTON: Thank you, Your Honor, Bruce Leaverton on behalf of the trustee. The trustee 8 believes that it's in the best interests of the 9 bankruptcy estate in Canada that's being administered that the examination proceed this morning on a private 10 11 basis and without the press attending it for a variety of reasons, including concerns regarding being able to 12 make that investigation and examination as effective as 13 possible. And the trustee believes that at this stage 14 15 in his examination, that the examination should proceed 16 on a private basis. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Feinstein? 17 18 MR. FEINSTEIN: Larry Feinstein, attorney for 19 the debtor. The debtor also would request that the proceedings remain sealed or that the press not be 20 21 invited. This is an ancillary proceeding, but it's 22 still given under bankruptcy rule 2004, which means 23 that the trustee may question the debtor regarding his financial affairs, where he banks, what his bank 24 records are, what his account numbers are, what kind of 25

credit cards does he have, what card numbers, where 1 2 does he live, where does he work. And those are all 3 kinds of things that, because of the nature of this proceeding, the third parties -- not necessarily the 5 press, but third parties could utilize that would not be to the benefit of the debtor, the estate or the trustee. So we're requesting that they be excluded. 8 We're also going to reserve the right, if the 9 Court does allow the press to make a motion to intervene at a subsequent point in time and obtain a 10 11 transcript, that we would be asked the right to be able to go through and redact things that give out personal 12 information like that as well. So it's not before the 13 Court today, but we're asking that we're going to keep 14 15 that, you know, as part of our proceeding. 16 THE COURT: Well, let me say to the press --17 well, I guess I should ask the various press representatives, Ms. Armstrong, Ms. Crosby -- Cross or 18 19 Crosby? 20 MS. CROSBY: Crosby. THE COURT: Sorry -- and Mr. Duffy, do you 21 22 see any -- is there any prejudice to allowing the 23 examination to go forward on a closed basis with the possibility that you might obtain a transcript later, 24 perhaps less account numbers and precise addresses? 25

- 1 MS. ARMSTRONG: That would be fine. I mean,
- 2 I'd like to have a copy of the transcript.
- 3 THE COURT: That's Ms. Crosby. And you're
- 4 with CHEK --
- 5 MS. ARMSTRONG: No, sorry, I'm Ms. Armstrong.
- 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I got you reversed.
- 7 MS. ARMSTRONG: We're right beside each
- 8 other.
- 9 MS. CROSBY: I'm Ms. Crosby, and I'm with
- 10 CHEK News. Personally, we'd prefer to be in the
- 11 proceeding if we can. A transcript doesn't necessarily
- give the full indication of what's going on. This is a
- 13 case of some great public interest.
- 14 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm aware -- when this
- surfaced Thursday, it took me about two minutes on the
- internet to see why there might be substantial public
- 17 interest, particularly on the Canadian side of the
- 18 border.
- 19 MS. CROSBY: And of course, we'd be -- you
- know, we have no interest in publishing Mr. Thow's bank
- 21 numbers, bank account numbers or anything like that.
- 22 THE COURT: Mr. Duffy, anything different
- 23 from your perspective?
- MR. DUFFY: Well, I absolutely agree with
- 25 Ms. Crosby, we'd prefer to be there and get the tenor

of the examination, obviously. And we have absolutely 1 2 no problem not publishing personal information, credit card numbers and that kind of thing. 3 THE COURT: Counsel have anything further to 5 say on the subject? MR. FEINSTEIN: Just the problem, of course, 7 I pointed out to the Court in chambers, is the 8 enforcement provision of your agreement to publish. 9 The Court doesn't have contempt proceedings in Canada. So if you violate it, there's nothing we can do to 10 11 unring the bell. So we'll put that in the record. THE COURT: Mr. Leaverton, anything further? 12 MR. LEAVERTON: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think in the 14 circumstances, I know it has taken some time to 15 16 organize this proceeding. If you look at the docket, 17 there have been motions going back several months now. My instinct is that there is not a significant 18 prejudice in allowing the examination to go forward on 19 20 a closed basis today. If the various press 21 representatives or your organizations want to move to 22 intervene and then seek a transcript, a noticed motion 23 to that effect would be appropriate. I would point out to all parties, a good -- a 24 very useful case for starting the analysis is In Re 25

Symington. It's S-y-m-i-n-g-t-o-n. And the citation 1 2 is 209 Bankruptcy Reporter 678. It's a bankruptcy 3 court case from Maryland in 1997. But although it's from Maryland, it relates to the then pending 5 bankruptcy of the governor of Arizona who was also under indictment for bankruptcy fraud at the time. And it was related to an examination of, it turned out to 8 be not the debtor's mother, but her personal 9 representative because she had died between the issuance of the subpoena and the date of the opinion 10 11 that I've just referenced. But it has a great deal of the history and 12 background of 2004 examinations and how they relate to 13 civil discovery and how they might differ from civil 14 15 discovery. I'm agnostic on that point on whether or 16 not that's the right answer. There are contrary decisions, and so that's exactly why I didn't want to 17 18 make a final decision today. 19 So if any of the press wants to move to intervene and wants to seek -- wants to make a motion 20 to have the transcript, I'll consider that in the 21 22 normal course of things. I would point out that, although I don't -- I 23 assume that all of your organizations know that in 24 federal courts in the United States, corporate entities 25

need to be represented by counsel. So I assume that 1 2 that's not a major hurdle for any of your 3 organizations. So is there anything further we should do at 5 this point? MR. LEAVERTON: Nothing from the trustee, 7 Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. So I guess proceed 9 with the examination, and if difficulties arise, we can have the appropriate hearing. 10 11 MR. LEAVERTON: Thank you. (Court was recessed.) 12 13 THE COURT: Well, good afternoon. We're here 14 15 again on the Thow matter. Mr. Leaverton is there. 16 MR. LEAVERTON: Bruce Leaverton representing the Canadian trustee, Your Honor. We have gone as far 17 18 as we can go. We have two matters to resolve before we 19 can complete the examination. One is the -- in particular, the bank documents that were subject of the 20 stipulated order which attaches a subpoena and 21 22 describes a number of documents that Mr. Thow was to provide us. We have some but not all of those records. 23 24 In particular, we have, for example, Wells 25 Fargo bank account records that don't include the

calendar year 2007. They stop at 2006. He has bank 1 2 accounts with two or three other institutions where he 3 provided the records that he could get off-line, you know, on the internet, which is approximately a 5 six-month period. THE COURT: So recent but not historical. 7 MR. LEAVERTON: Correct. And I believe the 8 trustee would prefer to proceed by way of subpoena against those institutions that are now disclosed as 9 places where he has bank accounts. And so we'd like to 10 11 resume the examination once we obtain those materials. The other matter that's coming before us as 12 an obstacle is the Fifth Amendment has been asserted by 13 Mr. Thow's criminal counsel in response to a number of 14 inquiries. The parties have a dispute regarding the 15 16 vitality of the privilege in view of the two bankruptcy filings, the sworn materials submitted in those 17 bankruptcy filings, Mr. Thow's prior stipulations and 18 responses to written questions and his testimony today 19 20 and other factors.

So the situation is that the trustee is content with not -- not trying to resolve that matter here and now today, those Fifth Amendment issues. But the objection has been interposed, and the witness has been instructed not to answer, and we don't have

11

21

22

23

24

answers to those questions. So we would propose that 1 2 we would -- I suppose the proper procedure would be a 3 motion to compel responses to those questions that are --5 THE COURT: Okay. So maybe -- I thought I understood you to say you were content -- or the trustee was content not to have answers or content to 8 conclude today without those answers? 9 MR. LEAVERTON: The latter, Your Honor. situation is that we're going to have to get the bank 10 11 records to complete the examination anyway. And 12 although there, as you know, substantial briefing in the record on the Fifth Amendment issue and the like --13 and unless -- I mean, we certainly would welcome the 14 Court ruling today. 15 16 And I can tell you, really, where the rubber meets the road on these issues. There's a banking 17 institution in Jamaica that involved a number of 18 pre-bankruptcy activities involving this debtor. And 19 20 there are, in the record established today, several 21 occasions where the debtor has been to Jamaica on 22 several occasions since August of 2005 when he came to 23 this country. And our examination of the witness on questions concerning property owned in Jamaica, the 24

25

Bank of Jamaica, accounts in the Bank of Jamaica --

Τ	THE COURT: Is that the name of the bank? Or
2	is that just a bank in Jamaica?
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the National
4	Commercial Bank of Jamaica.
5	MR. LEAVERTON: National Commercial Bank of
6	Jamaica is the name of the institution. And that
7	really is an important area for the trustee's inquiry.
8	That's where the parties have a dispute as to whether
9	the Fifth Amendment has vitality or not.
10	There is a further complication, which
11	actually, Mr. Thow's criminal counsel may be able to
12	address regarding I believe there are Canadian rules
13	they don't have a Fifth Amendment, but they have
14	something similar.
15	THE COURT: I know, the Canadian Charter of
16	Rights, I think it's called.
17	MR. LEAVERTON: Right.
18	THE COURT: I believe it's I have some
19	very sketchy knowledge of that.
20	MR. LEAVERTON: But apparently, the procedure
21	is that you can assert the right, and then you're
22	required to answer the question anyway. But the answer
23	can't be used in a criminal prosecution, unlike our
24	procedure. And so one of the interesting questions is
25	whether that regime, the Canadian approach in law and

rights really apply to this proceeding, or whether it's 1 2 the Fifth Amendment or both or neither. Well, 3 certainly one or the other does, and maybe both. So those are the issues that we would --5 THE COURT: I haven't heard one way or the 6 other -- and I'm not pressing counsel for a response --7 but whether or not there are potential U.S. criminal 8 proceedings or actual U.S. investigations proceeding 9 that may make a difference in the answer to that 10 question. 11 MR. LEAVERTON: I think if I knew the answer to the question, I'm not sure I could say whether there 12 was a criminal proceeding. But I know there -- or an 13 investigation. But I know there's no proceeding 14 pending. There's no prosecution or indictment. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Feinstein or Ms. Riley? 17 MS. RILEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, 18 19 Jessica Riley appearing on behalf of the debtor specifically, as this matter pertains to his criminal 20 liability in Canada. 21 22 Mr. Leaverton, I believe, addressesed the two 23 issues that involve my representation. Number One, whether or not -- it is our belief that Mr. Thow has 24 the right to invoke the Fifth Amendment in this 25

proceeding based on the risk of prosecution in a 1 2 foreign territory, that being Canada. There is an 3 investigation -- a criminal investigation there that has been completed and referred to the Crown. And it 5 is our understanding -- my understanding from conversing with his attorneys in Canada that charges there are imminent. The process is just a little bit 8 slower -- or it's taking its time. And my guess is 9 that is because it's --10 THE COURT: Probably a pretty complex case. 11 MS. RILEY: It's a complex case. It's not a serious violent crime where we run out and arrest 12 people immediately. So they're taking their time to 13 determine what criminal charges, if any, are 14 appropriate. 15 Fifth Amendment aside, in conferring with his 16 Canadian counsel, I brought the issue up with 17 Mr. Leaverton and the attorneys regarding whether or 18 not what arm of law governs this proceeding. Because 19 20 if, in fact, we are going to say that the Canadian law 21 controls, Mr. Thow may be able to answer a number of 22 these questions while maintaining protections -protection of himself from criminal liability in the 23 Canadian courts. 24 So I guess I'm asking for leave of this Court 25

1 for us to be able to address this issue. It's 2 obviously a very complex one. It probably doesn't come 3 before Your Honor very often. THE COURT: "Never" would be an accurate 5 description. I tell you, my last involvement with Canadian justice was when I was a deputy prosecutor in 7 Pierce County -- which is a long time ago -- and a 8 Canadian soldier, who was on duty at Fort Lewis in some 9 kind of exercise, turned a truck down an on-ramp to I-5. And of course, there was a very drunk soldier 10 coming up the on-ramp. Luckily, nobody was very much 11 injured. The state patrol trooper wrote a ticket. 12 You know, I was just the deputy prosecutor 13 assigned, and suddenly I get a letter from a colonel in 14 15 and Esquimalt or someplace like that saying, You can't 16 prosecute under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement. So I looked at it and said, I think you're right and, 17 18 you know, please tell us what happened. We would have 19 fined him, but, you know, had we convicted him. And he 20 ended up spending a couple of days in jail, apparently. So I don't know if that's relevant in the 21 22 slightest, but I have had a very slight involvement with Canadian criminal -- Canadian military justice 23 24 anyway.

16

MS. RILEY: I believe we will do our best to

untangle it for you. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure what to say 3 today. I'm not ready to make any kind of rulings at this point. I think I probably would want to see the 5 questions framed in terms of pleadings and, you know, with the specific aspects sort of laid out. Because I'm not quite sure -- I take it, from what 8 Mr. Leaverton said, you're going to want to get 9 documents from the financial institutions. That's likely to take some time. So we're probably looking at 10 11 least a couple of months out before we get to this? Or do you know? 12 MR. LEAVERTON: Well, I know the -- I'm 13 certain that Mr. Cheevers would like it to be on a 14 15 faster track than a couple of months. THE COURT: Sure. Well, I guess that's a 16 question. Are these two so interrelated that we should 17 18 resolve the Fifth Amendment and testimony kind of 19 questions before we get -- well, are there questions 20 with respect to the bank records other than you just 21 needing time to get them? 22 MR. LEAVERTON: I don't believe that Mr. Thow's counsel objects to our subpoenaing the bank 23 records and obtaining them. And so that's just a 24 matter of getting the subpoenas out into the banks. I

know we'll get responses pretty rapidly. We're really 1 2 looking at the electronically-maintained bank records, 3 and we're not really going to look into --THE COURT: You're not looking for copies of 5 checks, et cetera, at this point. MR. LEAVERTON: Correct. So I think that 7 will go fairly rapidly. I think, as I say, probably 8 the burden is on me to move to compel responses and to 9 provide the Court with the questions and the objections. And then I'm certain that Mr. Thow's 10 11 counsel will want to have the Court determine the Canadian -- the choice of law issue, if that's 12 possible, in that kind after motion. 13 THE COURT: Well, in that regard, the choice 14 of law question, particularly involving criminal 15 16 matters, do I need to -- does someone need to ask the Department of Justice to take a position? 17 18 MS. RILEY: If I may respond, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Sure. MS. RILEY: I don't believe that's 20 necessarily the issue, because we're not -- the 21 22 question isn't whether it's Canadian criminal law 23 versus American criminal law. It's what sovereign nation is governing this bankruptcy and what laws apply 24 to this bankruptcy. It's, I think, the overreaching 25

1 issue. And if it's Canadian law --2 THE COURT: Well, let me pose a hypothetical. 3 MS. RILEY: Sure. THE COURT: Say the outcome of --5 Mr. Leaverton makes his motion, and the outcome is everybody here thinks, well, the Canadian procedure governs, and I order that he answer the questions. He 8 answers the question, and six months later, we don't 9 know why, but there's a grand jury indictment against Mr. Thow in the United States for something. The 10 11 possibility then is my ruling might have been wrong, and nobody involved in the prosecution, you know, with 12 an interest on the part of the Government or whoever's 13 prosecuting, whichever government it is, probably has a 14 15 fair shot at that question again. 16 And I don't know if there's a way to preclude that, or whether it's a take-your-chances situation no 17 18 matter what we do. But one of the possibilities is 19 this is a brand-new statute, and maybe the Justice 20 Department wants to weigh in on that question. I don't This is, literally, the first Chapter 15. 21 22 MR. LEAVERTON: Right. We could certainly 23 notify the Department of Justice of the motion and put them on notice and put the Canadian authorities as 24 well, for that matter, on notice. 25

1	MS. RILEY: And I do think
2	THE COURT: I mean, I'm not trying to make
3	this more complicated than it is. But I'm trying to
4	think through what the possible implications are before
5	we get too far down the road.
6	MS. RILEY: From my conversations with
7	Mr. Thow's Canadian criminal defense attorney, I don't
8	believe that there is any possibility of prosecution in
9	the United States. That could be wrong, obviously.
10	I'm not giving a definitive answer to the Court. But
11	that has been my understanding, which is why the case
12	has now been forwarded to the Crown.
13	We anticipate there should be something
14	definitive very shortly with regards to criminal
15	charges.
16	THE COURT: And "forwarded to the Crown"
17	means the prosecution service has decided whether or
18	not to bring charges.
19	MS. RILEY: Exactly. "Forwarded to the
20	Crown" means it has left the Mounties, which would be
21	the police agency investigating the case. They've
22	packaged it up and passed it on to the prosecutor.
23	THE COURT: The equivalent of the FBI sending
24	something to the U.S. Attorney.
25	MS. RILEY: Exactly. So the case is with

them. And from my conversations with his defense 1 attorney in Canada -- and I will readdress this issue 2 -- I don't believe there's any criminal liability here 3 in the United States. But obviously, before we go 5 forward, I'll get something more definitive on that. THE COURT: Well, my hazarding of the idea of 7 a couple of months was just that I assumed that's how 8 long it was going to take to be getting bank records. 9 But I'm not -- whenever it's ready, we will do it. I mean, if you want to note up a motion, we can do it, 10 11 you know. And if it has to be expedited, you know, we can do that to some extent. But if it really needs 12 notice to other folks who aren't here, it would 13 probably be appropriate to figure out who those folks 14 15 are. 16 MR. LEAVERTON: Right. I think that's, you know, probably, to be discrete, that's probably 17 18 Mr. Thow's issue and not the trustee's issue --19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MR. LEAVERTON: -- as far as involving other 21 governmental agencies. 22 THE COURT: If there's any concern about 23 that. MR. LEAVERTON: Right. So, you know, we'll 24

21

confer, counsel and I, and see if we can get it

resolved. If she doesn't have an issue, then we'll 1 2 present it, you know --THE COURT: Sure, that's fine. 3 MR. LEAVERTON: And if she has an issue, then 5 we'll have to address that as far as --THE COURT: And one of the complicating 7 factors, if there is any interest on the part of the 8 Government, is it will take them a while to respond 9 because they'll probably want to respond out of D.C. MR. LEAVERTON: Right. Then we're talking 10 11 several months. THE COURT: Well, they can do some things in 12 a hurry back there. 13 MR. LEAVERTON: That's true. 14 15 THE COURT: So I think where we are is that 16 there will be a motion sometime soon on the answering the questions issue, and perhaps later on the bank 17 18 records. And perhaps not. Perhaps just simply a 19 reconvening of the 2004 exam on that. MR. LEAVERTON: I think just to make the 20 record clear, we're comfortable, I think, all of us, 21 22 that the 2004 order covers subpoenaing the bank records from Washington Mutual and from Key Bank. And I 23 believe there's one other institution. And then Wells 24

22

25

Fargo getting 2007 documents. So those subpoenas will

```
1
          go out very rapidly. And then I think it's the fifth
 2
          Amendment and the Canadian counterpart issue.
                    THE COURT: All right. We'll be at recess.
 3
                          * * * * * * * * *
 5
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	ROBYN OLESON FIEDLER certifies that:
4	
5	The foregoing pages represent an accurate and
6	complete transcript of the entire record of the
7	digitally-recorded proceedings before the HONORABLE
8	PHILIP H. BRANDT presiding, in the matter of THOW; and
9	
10	These pages constitute the original or a true
11	copy of the original transcript of the proceedings.
12	
13	Signed and dated this 26th day of November,
14	2007.
15	
16	
17	AHEARN & ASSOCIATES
18	
19	
20	by s Robyn Oleson Fiedler ROBYN OLESON FIEDLER, Notary
21	Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at Buckley.
22	
23	
24	
25	